



00052 10

Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554  
AUG 31 2000

The Honorable Frank R. Wolf  
U.S. House of Representatives  
241 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Wolf:

Thank you for forwarding a letter from your constituent, Thomas Scavone, regarding the Commission's initiative to facilitate development of telecommunications competition in multiple tenant environments. On July 7, 1999, the Commission released its *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)* in WT Docket No. 99-217 and CC Docket No. 96-98. Among other things, the *NPRM* sought comment on the Commission's authority to take action to ensure that competitive local telecommunications service providers will have reasonable and nondiscriminatory access to rights-of-way, buildings, rooftops, and facilities in multiple tenant environments. Your constituent expresses concern that implementing a regulation requiring building owners to provide nondiscriminatory access to competitive local exchange carriers would violate the property rights of building owners, would interfere with the free market, and would present practical difficulties.

The *NPRM* represents one step in the Commission's ongoing efforts to foster competition in local telecommunications markets pursuant to Congress' directive in the Telecommunications Act of 1996. These efforts are intended to bring the benefits of competition, choice, and advanced services to all consumers of telecommunications, including both businesses and residential customers, regardless of where they live or whether they own or rent their premises. In particular, this item addresses issues that bear specifically on the availability of facilities-based telecommunications competition to customers in multiple tenant environments, including, for example, apartment buildings, office buildings, office parks, shopping centers, and manufactured housing communities.


The Commission has not yet reached any conclusions regarding the matters discussed in the *NPRM*. The Commission is currently reviewing over 1000 comments that were filed in response to the *NPRM* and a related *Notice of Inquiry* by telecommunications companies, electric utilities, building owners, and State and local governments. Based on that record, the Commission will consider carefully whether it is necessary to promulgate a nondiscriminatory building access requirement and, if so, how to address the legal and practical concerns, like limitations on building space, raised in your constituent's letter.

The Honorable Frank R. Wolf

2.

I appreciate your interest and participation in this proceeding. Your constituent's letter will be placed in the record of this proceeding and given full consideration along with all other comments. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeffrey S. Steinberg", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Jeffrey S. Steinberg  
Deputy Chief, Commercial Wireless Division  
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

**FRANK R. WOLF**  
10TH DISTRICT, VIRGINIA

**COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS**

SUBCOMMITTEES  
TRANSPORTATION—CHAIRMAN  
TREASURY—POSTAL SERVICE  
GENERAL GOVERNMENT

FOREIGN OPERATIONS  
EXPORT FINANCING AND  
RELATED PROGRAMS

COMMISSION ON SECURITY AND  
COOPERATION IN EUROPE

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

ORIGINAL  
RECEIVED

99-217

241 CANNON BUILDING  
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-4810  
(202) 225-5136

13873 PARK CENTER ROAD  
SUITE 130  
HERNDON, VA 20171  
(703) 709-5800  
(800) 945-9653 (IN STATE)

110 NORTH CAMERON STREET  
WINCHESTER, VA 22601  
(540) 667-0990  
(800) 850-3463 (IN STATE)

[www.house.gov/wolf/](http://www.house.gov/wolf/)



SEP - 7 2000

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

**Congress of the United States**

**House of Representatives**

August 2, 2000

Mr. William E. Kennard  
Federal Communications Commission Chairman  
1919 M St NW  
Washington DC 20554

5270

WTB  
Facilities -  
Multi-Tenant

Dear Mr. Kennard:

I have enclosed comments I received in an e-mail message from my constituent, Tom Scavone of Fairfax, Virginia, who is concerned about the FCC's telecommunications forced access rules.

I would appreciate your reviewing Mr. Scavone's comments and providing a written reply to me, attention: J.T. Griffin.

Thank you for your assistance and courtesy in being responsive to my constituent.

Sincerely,

Frank R. Wolf  
Member of Congress

FRW:jtg

AUG 9 12 53 PM '00

No. of Copies rec'd 2  
List ABCDE

**Wedd, Meghan**

telecommunications

**From:** writerep  
**Sent:** Monday, July 17, 2000 4:04 PM  
**To:** Wolf, Write  
**Subject:** WriteRep Responses

DATE: July 17, 2000 3:48 PM  
NAME: Thomas Scavone  
ADDR1: 12500 Fair Lakes Circle  
ADDR2:  
ADDR3:  
CITY: Fairfax  
STATE: Virginia  
ZIP: 22033-0000  
PHONE:  
EMAIL: tscavone\@petersoncos.com

msg:  
FROM:  
NAME: Thomas Scavone  
ADDRESS: 12500 Fair Lakes Circle  
Fairfax, VA 22033

To Representative Wolf:

As a member of the National Association of Industrial and Office Properties (NAIOP), I would like to express my strong opposition to the Federal Communications Commission's intention to adopt telecommunications forced access rules. These rules would, in essence, violate the property rights of building owners, and prevent the free market from working. This is an important issue to not only me, but the entire commercial real estate industry. I am asking that you assist us in this battle to stop the FCC's proposed rule making. In particular, I am concerned that the FCC is currently moving forward with its Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM) in WT Docket No. 99-217 and may approve forced access rules as early as next month. Forced access would deprive property owners of the right to determine which telecommunication providers should be permitted to have access to their buildings.

The space available for telecommunications systems in buildings is finite and must be managed carefully in order to accommodate a variety of systems. In today's market, wired buildings are no longer a luxury, they are a necessity. As such, the building owners must do what is best for their buildings, as well as their tenants. I believe that tenants benefit when property owners negotiate contracts for the best possible price and level of service from qualified telecommunications service providers.

I believe that the current free market system is working, and urge you to write the FCC opposing their proposed regulations. The Commission should not be empowered to act until Congress has carefully examined the issue and determined whether the FCC has the authority to regulate the nation's property owners. If you allow the Commission to continue on its current forced access path, the result will be disastrous for the commercial real estate community.

If you have any questions or need further information on this important issue, please do not hesitate to call Reba Raffaelli, NAIOP's Vice President for Government Affairs, at (703) 904-7100, ext. 115. You may also visit [www.realaccess.org](http://www.realaccess.org) to obtain additional forced access information.

I want to thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,  
Thomas J. Scavone